

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,496	12/21/1999	RON WAKSMAN M. D.	WELD-111-DIV	3711
7.	590 12/02/2003		EXAM	INER
STEPHEN B. HELLER COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
& MEHLER LTD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 WEST ADAMS STREET - SUITE 2850 CHICAGO, IL 60606

3763

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
			3,496	WAKSMAN M. D	WAKSMAN M. D. ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			w F DeSanto	3763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIDE IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIDE IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN INSIDE IN MAILING THE	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a re statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this c ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	Decree in the communication (a) Ele	-d 00 Ot	0000				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file						
2a)∐		2b)⊠ This action is					
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract				e ments is		
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>29-35,37 and 41-49</u> is/are	pending in the app	lication.				
🗀	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41,45,46</u>	is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.				
5)[_]	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	*					
	Claim(s) <u>29-35,37,42-44 and 47-49</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to.	is/are rejected.					
7)∟ 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement	•			
,	ion Papers	odom dilazor cicodo					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b)□ objected to b	by the Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is rec	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
1.1)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P7	ΓO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
re	ererence was included in the first ser	nence of the specif	ication or in an Ap	pilication Data Sheet. 37	CFR 1.78.		
Attachmen			∆ ,□	(DTO 140) D	- >		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) R			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(formal Patent Application (PTC			

Application/Control Number: 09/468,496 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 41, 45, and 46 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claims are drawn to a non-elected species. The claims are not drawn to Figures 7A & 7B as elected by the applicant in Paper number 6.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 41, 45 and 46 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 33 and 34 recite the limitation "the treating element." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3763

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 29-34, 35, 37, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nita (USPN 5267954). Nina discloses a catheter comprising, a first tube having a lumen closed at its distal end and sized to receive the treating element, a second tube in parallel relation to the first tube and having a lumen open at its distal end and sized to receive a guidewire, and a third tube for receiving first and second tube and having a fluid return lumen in fluid communication with the lumen of the first elongated tube.

Where the distal end of the third tube extends beyond the distal ends of the first and second tubes. Wherein the distal end of the second tube is coterminous with the distal end of the third tube, both which extend beyond the distal end of the first tube. Wherein the lumen of the first tube has an inside diameter less then twice the outside diameter of the treating element. Wherein the first tube includes an internal barrier to block the passage of the treating element out of the first tube, and where the internal barrier has an aperture. (Figures 6, 6b, 10 and entire reference).

8. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yock (USPN 5501227). Yock discloses a catheter comprising, a first tube having a lumen closed at its distal end and sized to receive the treating element, a second tube in parallel relation

Application/Control Number: 09/468,496 Page 4

Art Unit: 3763

to the first tube and having a lumen open at its distal end and sized to receive a guidewire, and a third tube for receiving first and second tube and having a fluid return lumen in fluid communication with the lumen of the first elongated tube. (Figures 6B, and 7B, column 5, lines 49-67, and entire reference).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. The applicant argues with regards to Nita, that there is no fluid return lumen between the first and second tube, and that the space does not have opening to the outside said catheter at the distal end thereof and finally that the tubes does not extend substantially the length of the catheter. The examiner disagrees with all of these statements, and directs the applicant to Figures 6, and 6b, wherein the return lumen is reference number 22. The first tube is reference number 24, which allows the treating element to be received within the tube (ultrasonic waves), the second tube is reference number 156, and the third tube is reference number 20 and 26. The openings or apertures are not at the distal end of the third tube but instead in the middle of the catheter and therefore the examiner still holds his rejection.
- 7. The 102 Rejection drawn to Weaver et al. is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 29--34, 35, 37, 42, 43, 44, 47, 48, and 49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 22, 35, of U. S. Patent No. 5,899,882 and with claims 1, 4, 5 of U.S. Patent No. 5,683,345. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a first, second and third tube with a treating element placed in one of the tubes.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 1-703-872-9306.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 December 1, 2003 SUPERIORED POTENT EVOCANER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3760